

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,696	09/08/2003	Douglas J. Menkedick	8266-0880	1212
25267 7.	2590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BOSE MCKI	SOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP SANTOS, ROBERT G			ROBERT G
135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2700			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOL	IS, IN 46204		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
\bigvee			
Office Action Summary	10/657,696	MENKEDICK ET AL.	
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
T. 1111 WO DATE (11)	Robert G. Santos	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 08 September 2003.		
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matter	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	•	
Disposition of Claims			
<u>_</u>			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the a			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ne willidiawii iloili consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement		
	on analor election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	documents have been received.		
	documents have been received in Ap		
	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ranaivad	
* See the attached detailed Office action	in for a list of the certified copies not r	eceivea.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ ☐ Intendeus €	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Neierences Cited (F10-692) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F)/Mail Date	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a patient support comprising an intermediate frame movable relative to a base frame, classified in class 5, subclass 611.
 - II. Claims 23-36, drawn to a patient support comprising a mattress support deck including a foot section movably coupled to a seat section, classified in class 5, subclass 618.
 - III. Claims 37-43, drawn to a patient support comprising a mattress support deck including a back section movably coupled to a seat section, classified in class 5, subclass 617.
 - IV. Claims 44-50, drawn to a patient support comprising a foot end having a rotatable element positioned thereon, classified in class 5, subclass 624.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since the intermediate and base frames of Invention I are movable relative to each other generally in a vertical manner whereas the foot and seat sections of Invention II are movably coupled to each other essentially along a horizontal

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

axis, and different effects, since the entire body of a user is supported and selectively raised or lowered by the intermediate frame of Invention I while only the lower body portions of the user are articulated by movement of the seat and foot sections of Invention II relative to each other.

- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since the intermediate and base frames of Invention I are movable relative to each other generally in a vertical manner whereas the back and seat sections of Invention III are movably coupled to each other essentially along a horizontal axis, and different effects, since the entire body of a user is supported and selectively raised or lowered by the intermediate frame of Invention I while only the upper body portions of the user are articulated by movement of the back and seat sections of Invention III relative to each other.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since the intermediate frame of Invention I is selectively raised or lowered to position the body of a user at a desired height while the rotatable element positioned on the foot end of the frame of Invention IV is primarily designed to engage an obstacle.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696

sections of Invention III relative to each other.

Art Unit: 3673

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II and III have different effects since the lower body portions of the user are articulated by movement of the seat and foot sections of Invention II relative to each other whereas the upper body portions of the user are articulated by movement of the back and seat

Page 4

- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since the seat and foot sections of Invention II are movable relative to each other in order to articulate the lower body portions of a user positioned thereon while the rotatable element positioned on the foot end of the frame of Invention IV is primarily designed to engage an obstacle.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since the back and seat sections of Invention III are movable relative to each other in order to articulate the upper body portions of a user positioned

Art Unit: 3673

thereon while the rotatable element positioned on the foot end of the frame of Invention IV is primarily designed to engage an obstacle.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to Douglas A. Yerkeson on February 4, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 6

supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Polith Sonta

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673

R.S.

February 4, 2005